Appendix 1: Strategic Enforcement Scrutiny Report – Updated Response to Recommendations

Recommendation	Original Response	Updated Response
A. It is recommended that the current Council Enforcement Strategy (2007-2010) is assessed and updated to reflect the conclusions and recommendations made within this report.	Agreed. The council's Regulatory Services Manager will take the lead in reviewing the council's enforcement strategy and preparing a new enforcement policy. This will seek to give strategic direction to the Council's enforcement strategy. This work will involve input from a range of services including Planning, Neighbourhood Action, Parking, Housing and Building Control. It is not intended for areas falling outside "environment and housing" to be included in this particular policy, some of which have their own enforcement policies, e.g. benefit fraud. However, relevant service heads will be consulted in the preparation of the policy.	A draft Enforcement Policy has been developed which will incorporate and reflect the strategic aims and Corporate priorities. Further development work is required in relation to the Enforcement Strategy, it is envisaged that this will take place in conjunction with the proposals for joined up enforcement.
B. The following recommendations have been developed in the context of the council's broader approach to enforcement and work already under way. It is recommended that Haringey Council adopt the following enforcement	Generally agreed. To be addressed as part of a new enforcement policy and strategic direction. Enforcement income is commonly used to defray enforcement costs although there are certain statutory constraints on its use,	These principals have been reflected in the draft Enforcement Policy in line with the context of the Regulators Code.

Where possible, enforcement income is ring fenced to consolidate enforcement services; Raising the profile of Haringey's enforcement actions through effective communications with partners, residents and local businesses.	·	oss the council;			
--	---	------------------	--	--	--

Information systems Generally agreed. The contract for one All teams within Community To support a strategic and more of the council's existing enforcement Safety/Regulatory services and Private coordinated approach to enforcement databases, M3, is currently up for sector housing within Community Housing across the Council, it is recommended renewal. IT will be asked to examine the have full access to the current M3 that existing enforcement information potential for a more integrated database database system. Further development of systems are linked to a core database. approach as part of this exercise. a fully integrated system is complex and This will create a centralised record of any new procurement and/or development However, it should be appreciated that enforcement actions/ events which, once this is a complex requirement that will will need to be subject to a business case analysed, can be used to guide and require clear definition of the with investment identified. inform enforcement priorities and requirements. Any new procurement action across the Council. The core and/or development will need to be database: subject to a business case. There may Should be linked to a Local Land be scope for this as part of the wider customer services database being Property Gazetteer to ensure that the system is underpinned by accurate and pursued by the council. consistent property referencing; In addition the Business Infrastructure Programme manager will be consulted • Be supported by a small dedicated unit (Enforcement Task Force) who can to ensure alignment to the work of this provide analytical expertise, add value to programme. the core data and, guide and inform a In preparing a new enforcement policy strategic approach to enforcement. for the council consideration will be The establishment of a core database will given to the value of seeking a dedicated Enforcement Taskforce. assist the Council to: • Deliver more coordinated and joined up Given the financial constraints faced by enforcement action across the Council: the council any associated costs would Prioritise and target enforcement action need to be sought from existing budgets

or additionally generated enforcement

and

	Ι.	
use resources more effectively; • Develop a proactive approach to enforcement; • Better respond to the enforcement concerns and priorities of the community • Map out the effectiveness and compatibility of existing database and IT systems.	income.	
It is recommended that the Council ensure that any new information system procured for parking is fully integrated and compatible with systems used in other regulatory and enforcement services.	Not agreed. Parking enforcement systems are very much bespoke and designed to address specific parking income recovery including bailiff services.	Not applicable
In discussions with all regulatory and enforcement services together with IT, it is recommended that there is a detailed assessment of the longer term viability of M3 regulatory system, considering that: • It is not presently being used to full capacity; • There are limitations to its use and accessibility by other enforcement and regulatory services that would benefit	Agreed. The M3 contract is up for renewal and there is currently a review underway as indicated in 1 above.	Discussions are currently ongoing regarding the preferred database system, however all teams within Community Safety/Regulatory services and Private sector housing within Community Housing have full access to the current M3 database system. Further development will also be subject to the development of the joint enforcement proposals.

from having access to it; It is not compatible with other enforcement systems (e.g. planning IPIAN) Any new system should be developed with need to support the mobility of front line officers.		
Collaborative top 10/20 enforcement priorities		
It is recommended that the Council develop a Top 10 system where enforcement and regulatory services and partners meet/communicate regularly, on items from their own of their own (level 2) Top 10 enforcement cases that they nominate. The collation of the range of (Level 2) Top 10 lists to form a central top 20 (level 1), each entry being designated to one department/ partner with a named officer to take the lead in delivering a holistic assessment, action and enforcement	Agreed. Within existing resources a top 10/20 task and finish group should determine corporate enforcement priorities and how these might most effectively be pursued.	The top 10/20 problem premises are a standard item on the joint partnership tasking meetings that take place monthly and are co-chaired by the Head of Community Safety and Regulatory Services and a Detective Superintendent.
It is recommended that the Council further promotes and extends the use of My	The My Haringey App was originally developed by Single Frontline to	Mobile technology is currently being investigated as part of a mobile working

Haringey enforcement APP across front line council staff (e.g. Planning service).	principally for the highways, waste and cleansing service areas and to allow more convenient public reporting of issues, defects and complaints. A key component of this was the integration of the App with the Highways Confirm and Veolia waste management systems to minimise the manual intervention required. The Mobile Device Management Project (MDM) provides a platform for all Frontline Staff to easily report defects. Homes for Haringey are currently developing an extension to the App to report Housing repairs. Originally the App development looked at integration with the M3 system for enforcement activity, however integration was not possible due to the current development status of M3. As part of the M3 review we will be seeking that this is addressed	project and the review of M3.
Where appropriate, to raise awareness and reporting of enforcement issues, enforcement services undertake selective training or briefings with other front line services, utilising the capacity for in-house training and knowledge sharing e.g. Building Control briefing for Social Workers.	Agreed. Service performance briefing already taking place to cascade information. The proposed Top 10 officer and partner group referred to in 4 above would appear to be a suitable mechanism through which to deliver this recommendation.	Completed a number of briefings to front line staff have taken place

a) The Partnership Tasking Group is an	Agreed.	Completed the top 10/20 problem
effective group for prioritising and		premises are a standard item on the joint
targeting enforcement action, and it is	This would appear to be ideally pursued	partnership tasking meetings that take
recommended that its key elements	through the group tasked with	place monthly and are co-chaired by the
of success (e.g. partnerships, prioritised	determining the Top 10 system by way	Head of Community Safety and
activity) are shared more widely across	of essential background input to that	Regulatory Services and a Detective
the Council to promote and extend best	process.	Superintendent.
practice.		
b) That an audit of Tasking Groups,		
including who sits on these, how		
frequently they meet, their remit		
and powers and who they report to, is		
undertaken to aid sharing of information		
and effective partnership working.		
a) To ensure that public is aware of the	Agreed. Lead will be with individual	Completed with individual services lead
context and need to share information	services with appropriate support from	on this with necessary support Legal,
across the Council, there should (where	Legal, Audit and Information	Audit and Information Governance.
possible) be council wide conformity	Governance.	
to Data Protection and sharing statements		
on all forms and applications (developed		
in consultation with legal, Information		
Governance and Audit).		
b) In addition, to promote clearer		
understanding, consistency of approach		
and effective information		
sharing arrangements it is recommended		
that the Council further Data Protection		
guidance is provided to enforcement		
services. Guidance should work on a		

	T	
proactive 'how can we act' principle if basic criteria are set.		
It is recommended that a key link (named contact) is established within NAT (or other lead enforcement service) to liaise with Mental Health Services (BEHMT) to support enforcement outcomes (whilst also being sensitive to mental health needs).	Agreed. A meeting will be arranged with an appropriate contact within BEMHT to explore how this might best operate for the benefit of both organisations.	Completed, liaison has taken place with mental health services in relation to the approach, when dealing with residents with mental health issues causing ASB.
To promote information sharing, the Council should seek to develop the provision and use of Memorandums of Understanding that services have with key utility companies. The Council may build on those experiences of the NAT in this process.	Agreed. The Neighbourhood Action Manager will be asked to make an appropriate presentation to the multiservice Prioritisation	Work here has commenced in relation to ongoing work with utility companies MOU is still to be developed.
To further promote local enforcement partnership working, it is recommended that the Council work with Police Service to reinvigorate local SNT panels and ensure that there is representation from key council services such as NAT and	Agreed. The SNT panels are however not directly under council control in terms of what they are used to achieve. In a similar vein the Council will need to work with the London Fire Brigade with regard to certain housing and planning	SNT panels are ongoing with representation from Council officers when required.

Homes for Haringey.	matters.	
In the context of substantive organisational change, it is important that the Council retains those informal partnerships and information sharing arrangements which underpin effective enforcement action (organisational memory). It is therefore recommended that where possible, information sharing protocols are developed across enforcement and regulatory services to formalise such arrangements.	Agreed. To be pursued through 4 and 10 above	This is ongoing and forms part of monthly joint partnership tasking meeting.
Training and development To increase the investigative capacity and skills of the organisation, it is recommended that the Council should develop the pool of Proceeds of Crime Act (POCA) trained staff (e.g. through future recruitment, provision of educational allowances).	Agreed. There have been three complete POCA cases for planning enforcement which should bring in a total of over £70K to the Council. Planning enforcement has identified several cases at prosecution stage where the perceived benefits would qualify them as suitable for confiscations under POCA if convictions are successful. A Trading Standards test POCA case has taken place. Although an Order is in place the authority has not received the full amount. The service is using this to develop its understanding of this	Development of POCA trained staff has not taken place, although experience from test cases will be used to improve potential work in this area, including the sharing of resources with neighbouring authorities.

That the Council, (perhaps in conjunction with other North London Boroughs) and through the Chair of the North London Bench, seek to offer update and training to local magistrates to promote mutual awareness and appreciation of the problems of regulation in the private rented housing sector (e.g. how cases are handled in court, costs are recovered).	legislation and the potential it affords to the council. This experience will be shared with other internal enforcement services. The opportunity will be taken as part of the preparation of a new enforcement policy to ensure this is further developed and suitable staff training arrangements put in place. When considering whether to invest in training Council staff to become accredited financial investigators (which would result in the Council gaining a further 18.75% share of POCA awards), the capacity of the police (who currently Agreed.	Legal services have met with through the Chair of the North London Bench
Selective Licensing Using the evidence and learning from	Soloctive licensing peeds to be whole	Council systems data are surrently being
London Borough of Newham, it is	Selective licensing needs to be whole borough or the biggest scheme the data	Council systems data are currently being worked upon, in preparation for a report in
recommended that the Council adopt	will allow, otherwise it will not stack up.	October. The report will be on the
Selective Licensing in a pilot area,	The effort to set a pilot is just as	potential for a scheme and a decision to

and if successful roll it out more widely across the borough. This approach will;

- Help to develop an evidence base for implementation (e.g. ASB)
- Help to coordinate enforcement action;
- Place greater emphasis on the landlord for regulatory compliance;
- Focus on core IT and legal issues that other local authorities (including Newham) have encountered;
- Improve private sector management and the quality of housing services for local tenants in the private rented sector; This should link to a) Discretionary Licensing Pilot Scheme b) the conversion of industrial unit to residential units.

demanding as a larger scheme. The fee level is low so need ?as many properties as possible.

Selective licensing will give the flexible powers to support a co-ordinated enforcement programme and provide serious sanctions for all officers to use. Selective licensing also gives Rent Repayments Orders which will complement the Proceeds of Crime activity. Selective and Additional HMO licensing also can tackle all the properties that are subject to certificates of lawfulness but fail to meet housing standards, often through room sizes. Selective licensing will need to be considered as part of a new enforcement strategy and will require a separate Cabinet report and decision. Article 4 Direction regarding change of use to HMO is now in force in east of borough. Whilst there are referrals between services. greater focus and control is likely to mean more planning applications / unauthorised changes of use which will attract no fee as in Article

4 area.

proceed, once supported by the data. Following changes in April 2014 the government has indicated that they do not expect whole borough schemes. Cabinet can make a decision on schemes that only included up to 20% of the geographical area or of the private rented stock.

Communications

Effective enforcement is underpinned by sound communication and information sharing processes between enforcement services, local residents and businesses. Three critical areas for development are: • The way in which enforcement services communicate and engage with the local community to set local regulatory or statutory requirements - the enforcement framework – including the development of realistic expectations and a culture of coproduction with residents and other local groups; • The way in which intelligence is garnered from local residents to guide and inform local enforcement action; • The frequency, profile and substance of successful enforcement outcomes are communicated to local residents, businesses to reinforce enforcement approach, culture of the organisation;	Agreed. This will be achieved through the development of a communications plan to support the delivery of the council's new enforcement policy and the associated co-ordinated cross service approach. The new enforcement policy will need to address the issue of the collection of local intelligence.	Communications have developed a new neighbourhood app to communicate planning information – it can also be used to communicate enforcement activity or indeed to ask for intelligence, further development is ongoing
It is recommended that further work is undertaken by the EHSP to help gauge how enforcement services can improve the way that they engage and involve with local residents, business and traders associations.	EHSP to determine future review priorities.	A review of further activity in this area is to be determined

That further consideration is given within the Customer Service Transformation Programme in the way that local residents present enforcement information and intelligence to the Council (level 1 customer services) and how this is effectively shared (with level 2 enforcement services).	Agreed.	Further discussions to take place
Regeneration and enforcement It is recommended given the substantive new development planned for the borough that further assessment and modelling is undertaken to assess the demand for demand for enforcement services in the future.	It is anticipated that the current extent of enforcement issues faced by the council will not be replicated in future development and regeneration as a result of robust and effective development control through the planning system. Future demand assessment is not seen therefore as a current necessity although this can be reviewed at a future date should time series analysis of enforcement demands suggest otherwise. Any such future reassessment would also have to have regard to the council's budgetary position at the time. Where there is a profit motive or the surrounding area suffers from poor and unauthorised development breaches of planning control are likely to occur. This is where project orientated integrated enforcement, e.g. town centres	Not applicable

	T	
	will be most effective and make efficient	
	use of resources.	
It is recommended that a 'welcome pack'	Agreed. Communications team to lead	Completed, a welcome pack forms part of
is developed for small business that sets	on this and to link it to the responsible	the information sent to businesses as part
out the duties and expectations of	retailers' pack being prepared by	of the responsible retailers scheme.
responsible businesses as well as the	Trading Standards/Public Health.	Information for private landlords and
range of support services available.	Recommend welcome pack on housing	Council tenants on what they can or cant
It is suggested that this is systematically	and planning front for private landlords	do is available for Tower gardens/Noel
distributed to new business as they are	and for Council tenants and what they	park.
identified to promote awareness and	can or cannot do especially in Tower	
compliance with local regulatory	Gardens / Noel Park.	
and enforcement frameworks.		
It is recommended that regeneration,	Agreed.	Information is relayed to local taxation,
enforcement (planning and licensing) and		further work is required in this area
local taxation services develop a		·
mechanism through which the details of		
new businesses are communicated and		
shared across the council to ensure,		
where necessary, business are		
appropriately registered (e.g. food		
premises, council tax etc).		
It is recommended that a due diligence	Agreed with this extended to any other	Due diligence checks take place in areas
checklist operates together with an	type of council award.	where Regeneration are undertaking shop
assessment of compliance with local	71	front improvements.
regulatory and enforcement framework		'
before the award of any local grants (e.g.		
shop frontage).		
develop a mechanism through which the	Agreed with this extended to any other	
details of new businesses are	type of council award. The database	

communicated and shared across the council to ensure, where necessary, business are appropriately registered (e.g.	recommendations in recommendation 1 above should assist with this process.	
food premises, council tax etc). It is suggested that, periodically, enforcement officers walk local high streets to gauge compliance with and adherence to the local enforcement and regulatory frameworks (e.g. waste,	Agreed. This already happens through the NA Team in relation to visible street related issues. This can also be tied into the joint enforcement project being pursued by	Completed officers regularly patrol local high streets
planning and licensing).	the council.	
Member involvement		
It is recommended that further use should be made of members extensive knowledge and understanding of a local areas and issues (e.g. community concerns, new businesses,) in order to identify and prioritise local enforcement action. • It is recommended that members should be encouraged to identify local hotspots and priorities for enforcement action which would benefit from a holistic enforcement approach from a range of services (e.g. waste, planning, licensing); • The provision of introductory member training to promote an understanding of enforcement and regulatory framework and the powers available to the council;	Agreed. This already happens in relation to the NA Team. NA Officers regularly meet and communicate with Ward Members on local enforcement matters. The NA Team already produces a monthly enforcement activity summary which is circulated officers and Members. The collaborative Top 10/20 enforcement priority approach suggested in recommendation 4 above should facilitate communication with councillors regarding both priorities and progress. Suitable briefing sessions should be considered for Members to increase their awareness of key enforcement issues and how the council can best	Members knowledge of local areas and issues is invaluable in resolving localised problems. The neighbourhood action team regularly communicates with ward members on local enforcement matters.

circular could help to improve member interaction with enforcement and regulatory services (where action is being taken, progress, so this can be communicated to community). A dedicated web page (or information sheet) is developed for members outlining key enforcement information and contacts which may assist in the identification and or resolution of local enforcement concerns.	Agreed. Appropriate enforcement contacts already exists on Hairinet. These will be reviewed to see if they can be more effectively brought together	Member training is carried out in certain areas, this is to be further developed.
Lobbying for change	Anna ad	Fruith an area to take a large but the
It is recommended that the relevant Cabinet member writes to relevant Minister and local MPs to seek clarification in which multiple units are designated as such by the Valuation Office (there is currently some ambiguity as to whether multiple units are HMO's or separate flats - test is can they be sold as 1 contained unit and do they have shared facilities). This is important as it decision determines who is liable for council tax (residents if separate and owner if HMO) and other regulatory compliance. It is also suggested that the Cabinet member write to and to ascertain if this is an issue	Agreed	Further work here to take place by the appropriate service, with the relevant Cabinet member.

with other representative bodies (e.g.		
London Councils / GLA).		
Appropriate Cabinet member write to local	Agreed	Further work here to take place by the
MPs, London Councils to highlight issued		appropriate service, with the relevant
and problems associated with new		Cabinet member.
conditions for 'right to buy'.		